

Sharing global CO₂ emission reductions among one billion high emitters

Shoibal Chakravarty^a, Ananth Chikkatur^{b,1}, Heleen de Coninck^c, Stephen Pacala^{a,2}, Robert Socolow^a, and Massimo Tavoni^{a,d}

^aPrinceton Environmental Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540; ^bBelfer Center for Science and International Affairs, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02139; ^cEnergy Research Centre of the Netherlands, P.O. Box 1, 1755 ZG, Petten, The Netherlands; and ^dFondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, 20123 Milan, Italy

Contributed by Stephen Pacala, May 19, 2009 (sent for review March 16, 2009)

We present a framework for allocating a global carbon reduction target among nations, in which the concept of “common but differentiated responsibilities” refers to the emissions of individuals instead of nations. We use the income distribution of a country to estimate how its fossil fuel CO₂ emissions are distributed among its citizens, from which we build up a global CO₂ distribution. We then propose a simple rule to derive a universal cap on global individual emissions and find corresponding limits on national aggregate emissions from this cap. All of the world’s high CO₂-emitting individuals are treated the same, regardless of where they live. Any future global emission goal (target and time frame) can be converted into national reduction targets, which are determined by “Business as Usual” projections of national carbon emissions and in-country income distributions. For example, reducing projected global emissions in 2030 by 13 GtCO₂ would require the engagement of 1.13 billion high emitters, roughly equally distributed in 4 regions: the U.S., the OECD minus the U.S., China, and the non-OECD minus China. We also modify our methodology to place a floor on emissions of the world’s lowest CO₂ emitters and demonstrate that climate mitigation and alleviation of extreme poverty are largely decoupled.

climate change | climate equity | climate policy | individual emissions | inequality

The 1992 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) created a 2-tier world. It called upon the developed (“Annex I”) countries to “take the lead” in reducing carbon emissions, and, under the principle of “common but differentiated responsibilities,” established no time frame for developing countries to follow. However, a consensus is now emerging in favor of low stabilization targets. These targets cannot be achieved without the participation of developing countries, which today emit about half of global CO₂ emissions and whose future emissions increase faster than the emissions of industrialized countries under “business as usual” scenarios (1).

On what terms should developing countries participate? There are many proposals, each buttressed by some appeal to “fairness.” Per capita allocation is widely acknowledged to represent the only equitable goal in the long term, but intermediate steps are required in the short-to-medium term. Uniform percentage reductions in emissions across all countries are rightly rejected by all parties, on the grounds that industrialized countries must create headroom for developing countries. Here, we offer a different approach: An allocation of national targets for fossil-fuel CO₂ emissions derived from a fairness principle based on the “common but differentiated responsibilities” of individuals, rather than nations. Our proposal moves beyond per capita considerations to identify the world’s high-emitting individuals, who are present in all countries.

Our approach is designed to blend parsimony, fairness, and pragmatism—treat equally those with the same emissions, wherever they live, and use only national income distributions and economy-wide carbon intensities. National responsibilities are

derived by summing the excess emissions of all “high emitter” individuals in a country—“high emitters” are those whose emissions exceed a universal individual emission cap. The scheme does not specify how any nation meets its responsibilities.

Our approach is restricted to future fossil-fuel CO₂ emissions and focuses on the next 2 decades. We do not include biospheric CO₂, other greenhouse gases, and aerosols, because they are not strongly correlated with personal expenditures and national carbon intensities. By imputing national emissions to individuals, we neglect embedded carbon in exports and imports, a component that is relevant for countries with large shares of trade in their economy. We also do not tackle historical responsibility. These are all important topics, and a complete scheme suitable for use in negotiations would need to take them into account.

Baer et al. (2) uses a similar approach, but relies on high incomes rather than high emissions and on a fixed income cap at \$7500 (PPP adjusted). In contrast, our scheme is based on individual emissions rather than income to reward improvements in national carbon intensity. Several others explore allocation regimes based on convergence of national average per capita emissions in the long-term, typically beyond 2050 (3–5), whereas our proposal specifies a transient path that can lead ultimately to long-term convergence.

Individual Emission Distributions. We begin by obtaining a picture of how 26 GtCO₂ of global emissions in 2003 were distributed across the world’s 6.2 billion people. We first construct national income distributions from World Bank data (6). We then convert these income distributions into individual CO₂ emission distributions, assuming unitary elasticity* and anchoring means using country level emissions data. We use present and projected emissions data from the Energy Information Agency (EIA) (7), a freely available database with geographically disaggregated emissions projections to 2030.

Fig. 1 shows how our method works for 2 representative countries, Australia and France. The upper and lower panels report the probability distributions for income and emissions, respectively. Despite having similar incomes, the emission distribution in Australia is shifted to the right of that of France, because Australia has a higher national carbon intensity. The

Author contributions: S.C., S.P., R.S., and M.T. designed research; S.C., R.S., and M.T. performed research; and S.C., A.C., H.d.C., S.P., R.S., and M.T. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

¹Present address: ICF International, Fairfax, VA 22031.

²To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: shoibalc@princeton.edu.

*The *SI Appendix* presents data sources and categories, methodology, sensitivity analysis of the elasticity of emissions with income, and comments on the poverty emissions floor of 1 tCO₂ per person per year.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0905232106/DCSupplemental.

